

From: Onslow, Archie [<mailto:Archie.Onslow@camden.gov.uk>]
Sent: 05 November 2012 18:36
To: Mark Chant
Subject: RE: North London Waste Plan: DtC and Northamptonshire

Mark

Many thanks for getting in touch. I note your points about not needing any formal mechanism to share information in order to operate the duty to co-operate and in that spirit I thank you for sharing the information in the rest of your email.

However having been found to have failed to have met the duty to co-operate this means that we are having to go back more or less to the beginning of the waste plan. As a result we are likely to engage in a stage of establishing who the bodies are to whom we owe a duty to co-operate and I expect you will receive some letters from us in this regard. This is a stage we feel we need to go through so that we can demonstrate that we have met the duty next time round.

Your email takes us well beyond that stage and I will be back in touch when we have a clearer overview of all our landfill requirements.

Your comment on our hazardous waste policy is noted.

Regards
Archie

Archie Onslow
Programme Manager
North London Waste Plan
Camden Town Hall
Argyle Street,
London WC1H 8EQ
email: archie.onslow@camden.gov.uk
tel: 020 7974 5916
fax: 020 7974 1930
web: www.nlwp.net

From: Mark Chant [<mailto:MChant@northamptonshire.gov.uk>]
Sent: 29 October 2012 10:39
To: Onslow, Archie
Subject: North London Waste Plan: DtC and Northamptonshire

Archie

We talked briefly at the RTAB Chairs/Waste PAG meeting on 27 September about landfill in Northamptonshire.

Because we are in the East Midlands region the DtC between us cannot be concluded through the South East or East of England RTABs. However, I don't think there is a need to formalise the DtC between us through any form of formal mechanism/agreement, but rather just to share information and to discuss further if required.

Landfill

There are at the current time five permitted sites in the county, although one (Corby) has been mothballed for a few years and no-one least of all the operators expects it to re-open . Of the other

four, two (Sidegate Lane at Wellingborough and Cranford near Kettering) will stop being operational by 2017. The remaining two (Rushton and Weldon) both of which are in the Corby area have a far longer shelf life. Rushton is permitted to 2030 but has a catchment restriction on it that means 95% of waste must come from within a 30 miles radius. Weldon is permitted to 2026 but does not have a catchment area restriction on it. This site takes waste from London and I assume this is where the waste from the North London Plan area comes into, although some London waste may also currently go to Sidegate Lane.

If the approx 100k per annum North London waste comes into Weldon then there are no real issues for now, with the NLWP therefore continuing to make allowance for this. However if there was for any reason a new planning application submitted here for whatever reason we would do our best to get a more restrictive catchment imposed (we have policies in our adopted MWDF relating to catchment areas and any planning permission granted in the county now includes a catchment area plan). If any waste comes to Sidegate Lane then an alternative destination will need to be found for this waste post 2016/17 as this site will be full by then and the operators do not intend to extend this site in area.

I hope the above helps you in determining whether your assumptions about availability of disposal sites outside of the plan area are robust.

Hazardous Waste

In respect of hazardous waste the County Council is concerned that there is an over-reliance across the whole of the wider south-east on a landfill site within Northamptonshire. This site currently has an end date to 2016 but an application to extend to 2026 is currently with the National Infrastructure Directorate (and with the applicants stating there will sign an agreement not to go beyond this end date). To seek to get other sites/facilities coming forward in the wider south east we object as a matter of course to emerging waste plans that we consider do not tackle this issue. The North London Waste Plan was not picked up by us, but if it had have been we would have objected to that part of Policy NLWP7 that states “meets an identified need that cannot be met by an existing or proposed waste management facility” as we would have wanted that to have been amended to “meets an identified need that cannot be met by an existing or proposed waste management facility in or adjacent to Greater London”.

regards
Mark.

From: Onslow, Archie [<mailto:Archie.Onslow@camden.gov.uk>]
Sent: 08 May 2013 11:21
To: Mark Chant
Cc: Laura Burton; Shari Macdonald
Subject: RE: Preparation of local plan for waste in North London

Mark

Thank you for your comments which will inform the draft plan which we will consult on next year. We are likely to be in contact with you again fairly soon on the specifics of waste flows to Northamptonshire from North London after we have done a bit more research along the lines you suggest.

Regards
Archie

From: Mark Chant [<mailto:MChant@northamptonshire.gov.uk>]
Sent: 08 May 2013 10:45

To: Onslow, Archie
Cc: Laura Burton; Shari Macdonald
Subject: RE: Preparation of local plan for waste in North London

Archie

The plan period should be to 2031 and net self-sufficiency should be a key objective for the plan with an aspiration for this to be achieved at the earliest opportunity.

The above is the formal response of NCC.

Informally, you need to confirm where landfill waste is currently being exported to and what contracts are in place as it does appear that more and more operators are seeking to close/mothball their sites. We have been told by the operator that one of our landfill sites, and one that has no catchment area restriction, is likely to mothballed by the end of the year and there is considerable uncertainty over its eventual re-opening. This is a site that I think some North London waste may go to. It is also likely that this is being repeated in some of the other counties to which North London waste currently goes to.

regards
Mark.

From: Onslow, Archie [<mailto:Archie.Onslow@camden.gov.uk>]
Sent: 19 April 2013 15:15
To: Onslow, Archie
Subject: Preparation of local plan for waste in North London

Preparation of local plan for waste in North London

The London Boroughs of Barnet, Camden, Enfield, Hackney, Haringey, Islington and Waltham Forest are proposing to prepare a new local plan for waste in their boroughs to take the place of the non-adopted North London Waste Plan (NLWP). This is the start of the process to prepare the new Plan and the boroughs are inviting you to make representations about what it should contain.

After the boroughs had submitted the previous waste plan for examination, the Planning Inspector decided that it failed to meet the Duty to Co-operate and the boroughs have therefore agreed to start again as is required by law. When the boroughs submitted the NLWP, they believed it to be "sound" – that is, in accordance with the relevant tests. The Inspector halted the examination and never went on to consider issues of soundness at the examination. The boroughs therefore consider that the information generated through the preparation of the submission NLWP is a useful starting point from which to develop the new plan. Representations made throughout the preparation of the NLWP will also help inform the work on the new plan. All previous stages of the NLWP are still available to view at www.nlwp.net.

Evidence will be reviewed and updated and account taken of changing circumstances as the plan evolves. The boroughs propose to re-consider information on the amount of waste requiring management in North London and the extent to which it can be managed by the current facilities as well as to identify specific new sites which have potential for waste facilities to manage any shortfall in provision. The plan is intended to provide a framework for planning decisions relating to waste facilities and so will contain policies to be used when the boroughs assess planning applications for such facilities.

We are inviting you to make representations on any matter relating to the new local plan for waste. Your comments are particularly invited on the following matters:

1 **The content of the local waste plan:** Please let us know what you think the new local plan should contain. Do you think any content was missing from the submission NLWP?

2 **The need for new waste facilities:** Do you have views on the need for waste facilities in North London to deal with the waste likely to be generated in the next ten to fifteen years? If so, what types and size of facility might be needed?

3 **The characteristics of a site suitable for waste use:** If sites for new waste facilities are required, what type of site should be chosen? What criteria should the boroughs use to select waste sites? Do you think that the site criteria and assessment method in the submission NLWP are a useful starting point? Can they be improved upon?

4 **Proposals for waste sites:** Do you have any new suggestions on sites or areas in North London that might be suitable for future use for waste management?

5 **Policies:** do you have any suggestions for planning policies to ensure that waste developments are suitable in terms of location, environmental impact and any other important consideration? Do you think the policies in the NLWP are a useful starting point? Can they be improved upon?

To respond, please visit the 'Have Your Say' section of the [NLWP website](#) and use the special link. Or you can send your comments to feedback@nlwp.net. If possible please return your comments in MS-Word format. If necessary your comments can be posted to:

Archie Onslow
North London Waste Plan
Camden Town Hall
Argyle Street WC1H 8EQ