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1.0 Foreword

Following the extension of the Northamptonshire Rights of Way Improvement Plan from 2011 to 2017 we are required as part of the Countryside and Rights of Way (CRoW) Act 2000 to prepare, publish and to review the plan at least every 10 years.

The original Rights Of Way Improvement Plan included data which was taken from a survey which was dated, some of which went back to 2001.

On this basis we decided to obtain new data by issuing a document to all Parish Council’s, NLAF and the Ramblers, which we have used to shape the new Rights Of Way Improvement Plan 2018 – 2028.

As we are aware the routes in Northamptonshire provide significant economic, health and well-being and environmental benefits. Economic benefits arise from the desire of people wanting to, visit, live and work in an area with such wonderful access to such beautiful countryside. Health and well-being benefits come from walking, riding and the many ways to actively enjoy the countryside as well as being able to connect with the natural environment.

Environmental benefits come from attractive alternatives to encourage walking or cycling instead of using cars for short and longer journeys and therefore reducing congestion. Paths between fields, alongside hedgerows and watercourses, provide opportunities to protect and enhance wildlife and habitats.

In line with the rest of the country, the County Council will face a challenging financial position over the life of this plan as the future levels of funding for rights of way maintenance cannot be predicted however the desire of users of this network have and want for improvements remain the same. Where outcomes are not able to be met these will be identified as well.

With a clear vision in the form of a Rights of Way Management Plan the authority will be better placed to seek additional funding opportunities as and when they become available and enable innovative methods in the delivery of services to ensure that the Rights of Way meet the needs of their users as far as practicable.

Despite this we will aim to manage the public rights of way and countryside access network effectively and efficiently, working with communities, farmers, landowners, partner organisations, volunteers and users.

We will also try to improve the connections, responsible use, and economic benefits of the network and address the changing needs and demands of users, non-users and landowners, whilst sustaining the environment that people come to enjoy.

Councillor Ian Morris Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways, Environment and Public Protection
2.0 Introduction

The County’s Rights of Way network, both urban and rural, forms an integral part of the transport network providing a healthier alternative to the car for accessing work, leisure facilities, shops, services and schools. It also provides access to the outstanding Northamptonshire countryside together with many places of interest including historic sites, woodlands and waterways all of which provide support for the local economy. The promotion of safe, off-road routes can help towards the County’s road safety objectives by reducing accidents for walkers, cyclists and equestrians.

The Rights Of Way Improvement Plan 2007-2011 and the subsequent extension to 2017 were published following an extensive assessment, consultation and review process. Likewise the 2018 – 2028 Rights Of Way Improvement Plan has followed a similar approach to ensure it addressed the requirements of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 by considering the needs of the public, considering the opportunities rights of way provide for open-air recreation and the accessibility of the Rights of Way network.

Northamptonshire County Council has reviewed and gradually restructured how the 1929 miles (3105km) of rights of way network has been and is managed, whilst seeking to respond to budget reductions with minimal disruption to the rights of way service.

The changes included staff providing the definitive map and rights of way searches functions, the operational maintenance teams and development management teams transferring to Northamptonshire Highways Service Provider.

Maintaining and improving the Northamptonshire rights of way network links with high level outcomes contained within the Northamptonshire Transport Plan specifically promoting a naturally resilient and low carbon Northamptonshire, where the rights of way network supports recreation, tourism and enabling people to make choices about how they travel. Consideration regarding the Walking Strategy (2013) and any updates these documents provide will be taken.

Although the Authority has been through, and is still in, challenging economic times, the desire to maintain and improve the rights of way network remain the same. The effect of reduced budgets requires different sources of funding to be identified as well as more efficient ways of working to be found, or works are to be prioritised and as a last resort scaled back.

There will be new and challenging demands as, Well Managed Highways – a risk based approach, is implemented later in 2018.
3.0 Background

3.1 What are Public Rights of Way

Natural England and Defra have the following information on their websites:

England alone has circa 124,280 miles (200,000 km) of footpaths, bridleways, byways and other rights of way.

They are the most important way for visitors to the County to enjoy the countryside and they are also useful for local people to use to get to the shops, school and work.

Definitions

Footpath open to walkers only, way marked with a yellow arrow

Bridleway open to cyclists, horse riders and walkers, way marked with a blue arrow

Restricted Byway open to cyclists, horse riders, carriage drivers and walkers, way marked with a plum coloured arrow

Byway (Open to all traffic) open to all walkers, cyclists, riders and motor vehicles, way marked with a red/orange arrow.
3.2 Northamptonshire

Since 1960 Northamptonshire’s population has increased by 50% to over 745,610 residents. It still remains predominantly a rural county but it is interspersed with several large and small towns.

Northamptonshire has significant natural heritage with important areas in the western uplands and the Nene Valley. Its man-made heritage can be seen in the limestone villages in the Northampton area, whilst important contributions are also made by the small industrial towns and the Grand Union Canal.

The County also provides some tourist attractions in particular Silverstone and the Rockingham Motor Speedway motor racing circuits, a number of stately homes, Towcester Racecourse and Stoke Bruerne which is the most popular location on the wider attractions of the Grand Union and Oxford canal.

Rights of way and the corridors in which they sit make a significant contribution to, and provide new opportunities for improving the quality of people’s lives. They provide a basis for more sustainable transport modes, they can enhance access to local places of heritage, recreational and cultural interest, and improved health from more active lifestyles and access to areas for environmental education. They also support the local economy through tourism and employment with numerous signed linear paths and trails which cross the County including ;-)

3.3 Northamptonshire Rights of Way Policy

In accordance with the guidance issued by DEFRA, the ROWIP accessed the extent to which local rights of way meet the present and likely future needs of the public. Highway authorities were required to:

- Study the Definitive Map and Statement of rights of way;
- Collate and consider data on applications for modifications to the map and statement;
- Collate and consider data on requests for improvements to the network;
- Undertake a survey to assess the nature and scale of the present and likely future needs of the public (both local people and visitors to the area) in relation to the rights of way network; and
- Identify any other relevant information, including other plans and strategies for that area.

In light of this guidance, an assessment was undertaken to evaluate information that already exists, identify what data was required and how this was to be used to develop aims and ultimately the Action Plan and Annual Working Programme.

To ensure our commitment to managing the network we adhere to the following policy:

- Maintenance standards and outputs will be reviewed periodically with consideration of the increasing use of the Rights of Way Network.
- Where necessary and possible the Rights of Way Network will be publicised through the media and by leaflets, interpretation boards and signage.
- Promote the use of Rights of Way by walkers, cyclists and horse riders to develop healthy lifestyles.
- Improve and where possible create new links to provide sustainable inter-village links to local communities and places of interest.
- Continuous review of the Definitive Map and Statement to maintain an accurate record of all Rights of Way and reflect any changes to the network. This will also include Lost Ways which require seeking to identify and register before January 2026 otherwise they will be extinguished. To help combat this problem the Ramblers has been working with landowners, land managers and local authorities to find ways to make the process for recording paths more efficient, consistent across the country, and less contentious, helping to claim as many historic paths as possible before the 2026 cut-off date.
• We will continue to work in partnership with farmers and landowners to keep routes open and passable.

• Development and implementation of an Enforcement Policy in conjunction with Defra, National Farmers Union (NFU) and Country Landowners Association (CLA) reflecting the significant changes in agricultural practices and policies.

• Provide support, information and advice to Northamptonshire Local Access Forum (NLAF) on all issues affecting all areas of public access in the County including the Rights of Way Network.

• Develop and promote support for all Rights of Way Volunteers including Parish Path Wardens, Tree Wardens and the Ramblers.

• Develop a close working relationship with Developers and Planners to ensure the accessibly of the Rights of Way network is maintained and where possible obtain funding or resources for improvements.
4.0 ROWIP 2007-2011

The original ROWIP was made public in 2007 based on previous BVPI 178 Surveys, consultation periods, feedback and the agreement by the County Council to a series of new strategic goals to steer the way the authority was to work for future years.

However, since the previous ROWIP was written the national economic landscape has changed dramatically, one change of this has been the reduction in local government budgets which has restricted the volume of work which can be undertaken on the highway and rights of way network.

This has meant that some of the proposals which were made within the ROWIP could not be committed to.

Based on the reductions it rendered a number of outcomes as aspirational as we would require a consistent and guaranteed level of funding to deliver. The outcomes highlighted in the previous ROWIP required a predetermined level of work and funding on an annual basis that cannot be guaranteed with uncertain funding levels.

4.1 RoWIP 2007-2011: The Actions

The RoWIP proposed 38 outcomes encompassed within nine core actions which reflected both the suggestions of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 as well as the findings of a needs assessment undertaken by NCC. Consideration was given to how the outcomes could then be implemented.

4.1.1 Create a Safe Joined-up Network

NCC continues to aspire to grow the rights of way network and create new routes that complement the existing routes.

4.1.2 Make Access Easier for Everyone

To have a transport system that is fit for people to choose how they travel, ensuring that people have options available to them to be able to choose the best form of transport for the journey they make. This includes making information on the rights of way network available to the public.

4.1.3 Improve People’s Health and Enjoyment

NCC continues to recognise walking and cycling as important ways for people to improve their health.

4.1.4 Improve Links between Communities

When considering the usage of the rights of way network it is clear that they are used to travel between villages, to work or access places of interest.
4.1.5 Improve Signage

Adequate and purposeful signing is essential for a rights of way network that is available for use by a wide range of users.

4.1.6 Increase Publicity and Interpretation

NCC provides support for the production of leaflets and pamphlets, however with the increasing number of walks and rides available the most complete resource is the NCC website.

4.1.7 Improving Maintenance

Maintaining the existing rights of way network to a standard where the network can safely be used by all users is a primary priority for NCC. The maintenance work includes cyclical works such as mowing, routine maintenance such as vegetation clearance, finger post replacement or repair to surface damage and capital maintenance such as bridge replacement.

4.1.8 Travel Choices

NCC continue to promote enabling the people of Northamptonshire to make choices about how journeys are undertaken, it is also a desire to have transport systems that reduce car travel to reduce the effect car travel has on the built, natural and historical environment.

4.1.9 Harnessing Growth

Developments across the county provide opportunities to improve and enhance the rights of way network, either included within the planning consent process or later through developer funding avenues such as S106 funding; this will prevent the rights of way network being adversely affected by new construction.

These core actions are still relevant, as can be seen in the findings from the Parish Survey results (5.2 below) undertaken as part of the process requirements for this improvement plan.
5.0 ROWIP 2018 – 2028

5.1 The Way Forward

In April 2017 we decided to write to all 269 Parishes within Northamptonshire, (to inform us of their observations and points of concern regarding the rights of way network), as the information used from BVPI 178 in the previous ROWIP was dated and as the statutory responsibility to report on this was withdrawn, we were looking for current information which was relevant.

The response, even though we chased and had to wait a while for some responses, was worth it as we had 149 responses with meaningful information from the Parishes.
5.2 Parish Results

The following findings are within each respective Parish, we have grouped them together in categories for ease of understanding (see 4.1.1 to 4.1.9 for reference);

1. Easy Access, good views, open countryside 70  Core Action 2
2. Good for dog walking 56  Core Action 1
3. Good circular walks 43  Core Action 3
4. Good links within villages and to amenities 62  Core Action 4
5. Has historic value 6  Core Action 1
6. Is a promoted route 18  Core Action 6
7. Appealing walk and well used 43  Core Action 8
8. Has poor drainage 12  Core Action 7
9. Has livestock within the routes 16  Core Action 7
10. Overgrown vegetation/ requires siding out 37  Core Action 2
11. Missing, damaged, no signs or waymarkers 28  Core Action 5
12. Damaged or no stiles/ gates/ missing furniture 22  Core Action 5
13. Not clearly defined/ poor surface 21  Core Action 5
14. Not connected/ Severed/ culs de sac 25  Core Action 1
15. Too far from villages 18  Core Action 6
16. Better alternative route available/ of little or no use 33  Core Action 8
17. Starts/ ends/ crosses or runs adjacent to busy roads 30  Core Action 8
18. Too few paths in the parish 1  Core Action 3
19. Blocked by buildings/ structures 4  Core Action 7
20. Anomaly with alignment 5  Core Action 9
21. Too many stiles/ furniture 4  Core Action 7
22. Landowner blocked/ changed entrance 11  Core Action 7
23. Misuse of route 4  Core Action 1

The data provided gives us both things to work on and good news within the findings which will enable us to shape our continued maintenance and Improvement Plan whilst working within the financial constraints we are presented with.

5.3 Asset Data Collection

We have, since 2016, committed to undertake detailed inspections of the Rights Of Way network at a rate of 20% per year which is identifying any issues with the network and also detailing the assets we have along each route.

The information we already have will be used to link in to the information provided by the Parishes so we optimise our resources to undertake planned and meaningful repairs or improvements as required.

We also utilise the information provided by the Ramblers Pathwatch which generates reports and issues from their walks, which will either enable us to update our inventory or discuss any potential works with landowners or Land Managers. We are also able to access information on Street Doctor for complaints logged on this system.
5.4 Walking and General Use

The greatest number of people accessing the countryside and subsequently the rights of way Network is on foot. This is evidenced with the numerous planned walks around the County such as the Waendel Walk which actively promote walking in addition to the Ramblers routes. We also have walks in association with places of historic interest along with the likes of Rushden Lakes where commercial activities sit side by side with walking.

Whilst the coverage of public footpaths and other access in the County is very broad, there are a number of issues which have been highlighted in the ROWIP consultations, which may hinder the ability of users to enjoy such paths.

Northamptonshire County Council is legally responsible for the maintenance of the surface of public rights of way to a standard appropriate for its defined use (such as upgrowth across rural paths). In most cases the natural surface is adequate and it is unnecessary to introduce materials that could detract from the landscape or adversely affect biodiversity.

In some locations however, the natural surface is subject to conditions such as poor drainage or high levels or certain types of use, which may mean the natural surface is unsuitable for some or all of the time. Such unsuitability can act as a barrier to use or result in damage to the natural/historic environment.

Improving path surfaces can be very costly and it is important to balance the needs of users with the effect on the natural and historic environment. Nevertheless, the County Council recognises the need for appropriate improvement in specific locations where there is demand and the benefits can be demonstrated.

It is also the case that some of these issues can result on occasion in conflict between different parties. For example, poor way marking can lead to a walker straying off the public right of way and coming into conflict with a landowner. Thus, appropriate improvements to way marking can often reduce the potential for conflict and also improve the accessibility of the public rights of way network.

Key issues for walkers and general users determined from the Parish Survey:

- Overgrown/blocked paths
- Poor way marking
- Difficult stiles
5.5 Horse Riding

Horse riding is an increasingly popular activity however horse riders have access to only 30.5% of the total amount of the public rights of way network in Northamptonshire (27% of the Bridleway network and 3.5% of Byways).

Due to the often fragmented nature of bridleways, this means that horse riders will need to use the road network at least in part, to provide for many of their journeys. The increasing volume of traffic on Northamptonshire’s roads, results in horse riders being amongst the more vulnerable road users. There is a need to identify ways in developing more opportunities for alternative routes for horse riders.

The following issues have been identified through the British Horse Society as particularly hindering the ability of horse riders to enjoy their use of the public rights of way network.

Key issues for horse riders:

• Busy roads
• Overgrown/blocked bridleways
• Locked gates on bridleways
• Gates which are difficult to open, particularly from horseback
• Lack of bridleways
• Fragmented bridleway network
• Lack of circular routes
5.6 Cycling

The rights of way network and other routes segregated from the main carriageway can provide for a range of users by bicycle, including those undertaking mountain biking or ‘off-road’ cycling and also less confident users who would prefer not to cycle with motorised traffic.

Local networks of off-road routes are often very important for families with children who are too young to cycle on roads. There is a growing demand for off-road recreational cycling in the County as demonstrated by the popularity of cycling on routes such as the Brampton Valley Way, the East Northants Greenway and around Pitsford Reservoir and Salcey Forest.

The Northamptonshire Transport Plan contains a Cycling Strategy, the aim of which is to “increase the number of people choosing to travel by cycle for trips under 5 miles through a combination of improvements to the on and off road cycling environment, promotion and training”

The strategy also states that off-road cycling facilities should be integrated with other shared-use cycle tracks away from main carriageways through parks, alongside rivers, canals or using old railway alignments to provide an attractive alternative to the road network for all cyclists.
such as these can also support the rural economy and opportunities to investigate designating rural recreation routes should be investigated. These types of routes are generally more closely associated with leisure routes, but are just as valuable as part of utility routes. A good example of this is the Ways Through Waterside (Connect2) scheme in Northampton. Where such routes are proposed there needs to be full public consultation and the design needs to have full regard to the safety of pedestrians.

Several trends are influencing the volume and nature of the demand for cycling facilities including, the increasing interest in health and fitness, the cost of other forms of travel, road safety and the environment. The County, however, shares the negative issues common to many areas of an inadequate supply of routes, small budgets for route development and publicity, incomplete linkages between routes and often substandard maintenance of traffic-free cycle ways or shared-use routes.

The pressures of urban expansion across the region bring with them tremendous opportunities for improved access, not only connected directly with growth areas, but also more generally across the county. For example, there are a number of former railway lines across Northamptonshire that have the potential to provide safer off-road routes between larger villages and urban areas.

One successful project that has achieved significant non-motorised use of a disused railway is the Brampton Valley Way between Northampton and Market Harborough in Leicestershire. This facility is part of the National Cycle Network route 6, which stretches ultimately from London to the Lake District and is used regularly by people for recreation and commuting into Northampton.

Several sections of former rail line are currently being investigated to assess the potential for creating access for walkers and cyclists.

For example, NCC are working with East Northants Council on their East Northants Greenway programme, which hopes to open up stretches of former rail line ultimately linking Wellingborough with Peterborough. Sections of the Greenway already exist linking Rushden to Higham Ferrers along a former rail line, and also from Higham Ferrers to Irthlingborough across the Nene Valley at Irthlingborough Lakes and Meadows. Work is currently progressing to open walking and cycling access to link Ditchford Road to the east of Wellingborough with existing routes at Stanwick Lakes.

Other routes where investigative work is being undertaken includes linking Wellingborough, near to Little Irchester with Summer Leys Nature Reserve, a route which would be continued towards Earls Barton as part of planning conditions following future cessation of gravel extraction in the area. Work is also ongoing with Daventry District Council to extend the existing disused rail line cycle track in Daventry as far as Braunston village.

It is unlikely, given the huge capital costs involved, that proposals to re-open any of the disused railways in Northamptonshire to rail services will be considered in the foreseeable future. Funding aside, there is, therefore, little reason to prevent the investigation and, ultimately, realisation of current and future proposals to use disused railways for walking and cycling.

For mountain bikers, there is a need for more dedicated routes in order to increase safe, conflict free, opportunities.
Key issues for cyclists:

- Poor surfaces on bridleways
- Overgrown/blocked paths
- Lack of circular routes
- Lack of bridleways/cycle tracks
- Lack of dedicated mountain bike routes

5.7 Motorcycling / Driving

There is limited opportunity for driving on BOAT’s and unsurfaced roads in Northamptonshire at present and the introduction of the category of Restricted Byway in 2006 as a consequence of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 is anticipated to provide fewer additional opportunities for off-road driving over the next few years.

We have received reports of occasional illegal use by motor vehicles and motorcycles on footpaths, bridleways and public open space. This type of activity can be difficult to control. Both lawful and illegal or inappropriate use can lead to conflict with other users, landowners and local communities.

This use may demonstrate a need for alternative provision for a variety of off-road driving and motorcycling at more appropriate locations where there can be less potential conflict. Off-road driving in appropriate locations and driving on BOAT’s and unsurfaced roads may also provide opportunities for some users with limited mobility to enjoy the countryside on an equal basis.
Key issues for motorcyclists and off road vehicle users:

- Lack of byways/opportunities to drive/ride
- Poor signage
- Lack of information about where legitimate access is available
- Increased traffic on the general road network creating conflict and greater level of hazard and dangers to rights of way users, where they meet.

5.8 Land Managers and Legislation

Where a public right of way crosses a fence line, hedgerow or other boundary, it is marked by a gap, gate or stile. Such structures are known as limitations and may be legally authorised, and recorded by the County Council on the Definitive Statement, or unauthorised. Authorised limitations are required for stock control or other appropriate land management practice and should conform to appropriate British Standards.

The County Council’s working practice is to ensure structures at least conform to the authorised limitation and to the Eurocode Standards, whilst taking account of local distinctiveness and potential impact on the landscape. Unauthorised structures will either be required to be removed or can be authorised if they are necessary and meet legislative requirements.
The County Council recognises the rights and operational requirements of land managers, and is committed to improving accessibility by working with land managers to remove, replace or repair structures where appropriate and providing incentives, practical advice and support.

Working with local communities, land managers and user groups there are opportunities to identify locations where enhanced levels of access for all legitimate users are desirable. Such an improved network would offer significant potential benefit in terms of access to services and facilities, the local economy, health, well-being and informal recreation generally.

There has been a ratification of locations where most Rights of Way that cross highway maintainable at public expense, that the Rights of Way has been incorporated in to the highway inspection schedules. We still have a small number of these anomalies to resolve mainly on new housing estates where houses have been built where the existing right of way was incorporated in to the development and subsequently adopted.

We also know that we have a number of Rights of Way which are over land which is NOT highway maintainable at public expense both in urban and rural areas and these belong to a number of bodies such as; boroughs, districts, parish Councils and farmers/landowners.

We still legally have to ensure these are usable and we will continue to do this on our reactive approach and our 20% per year detailed asset inventory and condition inspection. If we are made aware or come across any issues related to these Rights of Way it is our duty to liaise with the landowner to resolve the concern.

5.9 Enforcement

Some Public Rights of Way in Northamptonshire continue to be obstructed and where problems do occur they are resolved amicably through discussion with the land managers. Occasionally, however, it is necessary to take formal action to resolve matters.

When this occurs we invoke our Public Rights Of Way Enforcement Procedure.
5.10 Maintenance

Work is allocated on a prioritised basis – more urgent problems (health and safety issues and paths which are completely obstructed) are investigated reactively as quickly as resources allow and, in the case of health and safety problems, normally within a target time of five working days.

Less urgent problems are investigated via a number of proactive approaches:

a) **General maintenance** - whilst reports are prioritised according to their severity, where there are opportunities to deal with less urgent problems at the same time and make best use of resources available in an efficient manner.
b) Field Edge (Headland) paths- We are responsible for the upkeep of field edge (HEADLAND) rights of way and to fulfil this obligation we have a regime of *Annual Grass Cutting* - We have two annual mowing programmes which encompass mowing, strimming and some weed spraying the square metre area is detailed in the table immediately below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Linear Length mtrs</th>
<th>Total Sq mtrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12121</td>
<td>179736 Contractor Mowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173371</td>
<td>NA Landowner Mowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32889</td>
<td>67932.5 Contractor Clearance and Siding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Parish Path Wardens- the Parish Path Warden scheme is very much up and running. A number of new inductions over the last 12 months have been carried out – with more inductions to take place as the year progresses as follows:-

a) Parish Path Wardens (PPW’s) are appointed to monitor the Rights of Way network locally. The Commitment made by Parish Path Wardens forms an important link between the Rights of Way section and each parish or town in the county. This link not only provides valuable information which assists in maintaining and improving the Rights of Way network, but also ensures the views and requirements of the local community are represented.

b) There are three Rights of Way Officers that are assigned an area within the County and they are responsible for the network in each parish, giving full support and advice to individual Parish Path Wardens.

c) The main purpose of the scheme is to involve local communities and councils in the management of the County’s Rights of Way network. This helps to ensure that routes are not obstructed, are clearly defined, properly maintained and available to all members of the public throughout the seasons of each year.

d) Parish Path Wardens form an important community link between Northamptonshire County Council and KierWSP. They are the ‘eyes and ears’ for all issues that affect the Rights of Way network in their area.
e) Parish Path Wardens come from all walks of life, but share a common interest in the countryside and in particular the Rights of Way network. In the majority of cases a prospective Parish Path Warden is recommended by the parish or town council. Occasionally the recommendation may come from another source, but they must have parish/town council support. The Ramblers could also report problems on the network, have liaison meetings to identify and seek to resolve problems, also carrying out minor maintenance such as clearing foliage around stiles and waymarkers, whilst also promoting walking in the countryside.

f) Once a formal recommendation has been received, a meeting is arranged between the prospective Parish Path Warden and the Rights of Way Officer for that area. Details of the scheme are explained, relevant documents provided together with appropriate training and advice.

g) At the conclusion of this meeting, providing both parties are in agreement, the appointment of the Parish Path Warden is confirmed.

The minimum requirement that we ask of a PPW is to carry out an inspection of all Rights of Way in their Parish at least once a year. Identify any problems, maintenance issues or improvements on their local network and report them to the Rights of Way Officer for that area.

We also ask that they maintain regular contact with their Parish or Town Council to ensure they are fully updated on all Rights of Way issues. This helps to ensure that the needs of the local community in respect of the Rights of Way network are being represented.

Full training is given to all new Parish Path Wardens by the local Rights of Way Officer. This training includes details of the scheme, what support is available, maintenance issues, and information on the local Rights of Way network. An induction pack is provided containing a parish map and statement, Parish Path Warden Handbook and other information relevant to the scheme.

Ongoing support and advice is always available from the area Rights of Way Officer. In addition the officer endeavours to ensure each Parish Path Warden is contacted regularly throughout the year.

We have registered 194 Parish Path Wardens in Northamptonshire which leaves with 77 Parishes without a Parish Path Warden which we will work towards communicating with them in an attempt to encourage this role.
5.11 Definitive Map

The Definitive Map and Statement is a legal record of public rights of way and it is made publicly available at the County Council's offices and website, centres and local libraries.

Modification of the Definitive Map and Statement can only be made by statutory procedure and only in order to correct an error or omission. This occurs either because a legal event has occurred which has changed the public rights of way network and hence the Definitive Map and Statement needs to be updated to reflect that change, or because evidence of an error or omission has been discovered.

Anyone who discovers such evidence may make an application to the County Council for a definitive map modification order and both historical documents and users' testimony can be cited.

Such modifications to the record do not change public rights but are only used to correct errors and omissions and must be based solely on evidence.

---

FILE TRACKER - Definitive Map Team

Current and Outstanding Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File No.</th>
<th>Date Opened</th>
<th>Parish</th>
<th>Name/ Location</th>
<th>ROW No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Case Officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>24/03/2009</td>
<td>Welford</td>
<td>Hemplow Hills</td>
<td>DU7/DU8</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>14/08/2013</td>
<td>Clay Cotton</td>
<td>Elm Cottage</td>
<td>EL5</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003/745</td>
<td>29/10/2004</td>
<td>Barton Seagrave</td>
<td>Latimer School</td>
<td>HL1</td>
<td>DMMO/SSDiversion</td>
<td>MFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012/746</td>
<td>16/04/2004</td>
<td>Yardley Gobion</td>
<td>Wharf Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>SLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>021</td>
<td>15/05/2006</td>
<td>Kilsby</td>
<td>Devon Ox Road</td>
<td>EW11</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>033</td>
<td>01/06/2006</td>
<td>Great Oxendon</td>
<td>St Helen's Church</td>
<td>DH2</td>
<td></td>
<td>SLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>049</td>
<td>20/04/2007</td>
<td>Irthingborough</td>
<td>Hansons</td>
<td></td>
<td>s.25/DMMO</td>
<td>MFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>065</td>
<td>31/10/2007</td>
<td>Easton Maudit</td>
<td>Compton Estates</td>
<td></td>
<td>s.26</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>071</td>
<td>15/04/2008</td>
<td>Burton Latimer</td>
<td>Hogs Hole</td>
<td></td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076</td>
<td>17/07/2008</td>
<td>Woodford Cum Membri</td>
<td>FN20 upgrade</td>
<td>FN20</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>078</td>
<td>06/10/2008</td>
<td>Braunston</td>
<td>Old Railway/Station</td>
<td></td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>MFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>083</td>
<td>16/10/2015</td>
<td>Earl's Barton</td>
<td>Earl's Barton Quarry</td>
<td></td>
<td>Temp diversion</td>
<td>TCPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092</td>
<td>08/04/2009</td>
<td>Cogenhoe and Whiston</td>
<td>Nene Way - Hanson Quarry</td>
<td>s.25</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>15/12/2009</td>
<td>Lilford-cum-Wigsthorpe and Thorpe Achurch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>MFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>02/02/2010</td>
<td>Little Houghton</td>
<td>Meadow Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>27/09/2010</td>
<td>Northampton</td>
<td>Coverdale Kingsthorpe</td>
<td></td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>21/09/2010</td>
<td>Upton</td>
<td>Upton Old Church</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>28/09/2010</td>
<td>Stoke Bruins</td>
<td>Stoke Park Road</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>30/09/2010</td>
<td>Kettering</td>
<td>Granville Street</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>06/01/2011</td>
<td>Stanion</td>
<td>Harpers Brook</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>01/02/2011</td>
<td>Fotheringhay</td>
<td>Towpath</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>19/07/2011</td>
<td>Duston</td>
<td>Millway</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>24/08/2011</td>
<td>Barnwell</td>
<td>The Grange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>18/03/2014</td>
<td>Crick</td>
<td>Fallowfields (NO APP RECEIVED)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>03/01/2012</td>
<td>Ringstead</td>
<td>Mountbatten Drive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>08/11/2011</td>
<td>Upton</td>
<td>Pineham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>15/02/2012</td>
<td>Sulgrave</td>
<td>Lamby Manor Farm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>06/03/2012</td>
<td>Oundle</td>
<td>Turners Yard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>13/07/2012</td>
<td>Burton Latimer</td>
<td>Station Road (Weetabix)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>07/08/2012</td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>Chery Hill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>28/09/2012</td>
<td>Corby</td>
<td>St Lukes Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>22/10/2012</td>
<td>Blisworth</td>
<td>Canal side along RD9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>18/02/2013</td>
<td>Loddisington</td>
<td>Mawsley Wood Farm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>09/09/2013</td>
<td>Lowick/Islip</td>
<td>Drayton Estates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>18/02/2014</td>
<td>Great Doddington and Wollaston</td>
<td>TB15 TV15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>06/03/2014</td>
<td>Isham</td>
<td>TM9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>17/03/2014</td>
<td>East Farndon + Marston Trussell</td>
<td>AdamsMile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>01/12/2011</td>
<td>Harringworth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>19/08/2014</td>
<td>Chelveston cum Caldecote</td>
<td>Chelveston Airfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>19/08/2014</td>
<td>Barton Seagrave</td>
<td>Latimer School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>30/10/2014</td>
<td>Newton and Little Oakley</td>
<td>Corby Link Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>06/01/2015</td>
<td>Oundle</td>
<td>Milton Road to Fletton Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>12/03/2015</td>
<td>Kings Sutton</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>01/07/2015</td>
<td>Wilbarston/Stoke Albany</td>
<td>Desborough Airfiled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>13/08/2015</td>
<td>Rothwell</td>
<td>Harrington Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>05/01/2016</td>
<td>Great Oxendon</td>
<td>Old Covert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>31/03/2016</td>
<td>Maidwell</td>
<td>Dale Farm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>01/04/2016</td>
<td>Rushden</td>
<td>Prospect Ave to Shirley Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Road/Location</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>01/08/2016</td>
<td>Ashton</td>
<td>Ashton Estate</td>
<td>ME1</td>
<td>Diversion/DMMO</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>20/08/2016</td>
<td>Stanwick</td>
<td>Cotton Lane</td>
<td>PH16</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>19/08/2016</td>
<td>Titchmarsh</td>
<td>Back Lane</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>13/09/2016</td>
<td>Newton Bromswold</td>
<td>Bedfordia Farms</td>
<td>TP9</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>MFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>28/09/2016</td>
<td>Titchmarsh</td>
<td>Bird's Lane</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>17/11/2016</td>
<td>Bradden</td>
<td>RE1</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>GDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>13/01/2017</td>
<td>Islip</td>
<td>Mill bridge replacement</td>
<td>NX1 NX3</td>
<td>s.25</td>
<td>MFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>18/01/2017</td>
<td>Polebrook</td>
<td>Water Gap Field</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>16/03/2017</td>
<td>Desborough</td>
<td>UC1 to old A6</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>23/03/2017</td>
<td>Twywell</td>
<td>Twywell Hills &amp; Dales</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>05/04/2017</td>
<td>Yardley Gobion</td>
<td>SH31</td>
<td>SH31</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>MFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>09/06/2017</td>
<td>Hannington</td>
<td>Rectory Farm</td>
<td>CT8</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>18/05/2017</td>
<td>Yardley Hastings</td>
<td>Shop Lane</td>
<td>Creation</td>
<td>GDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>23/08/2017</td>
<td>Rothwell</td>
<td>between Jubilee Street &amp; community centre</td>
<td>UH2 and UH16</td>
<td>Cycletrack conversion from FP</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>08/09/2017</td>
<td>Bulwick</td>
<td>Bulwick Byways</td>
<td>ND10,12 NH16</td>
<td>Diversion of BW ND6 onto route of FP ND5 then create new Footpath where ND6 was to provide a bridleway/cycle link in Greenway</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>15/09/2017</td>
<td>Islip</td>
<td>Between A604 and A14</td>
<td>ND6 &amp; ND 5</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>17/11/2017</td>
<td>Cogonhoe and Whiston</td>
<td>Claimed FP Station Rd to York Avenue, Cogonhoe</td>
<td>DMMO</td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>22/12/2017</td>
<td>Harpole</td>
<td>High Street Harpole (don't process until after planning perm granted)</td>
<td>KP18 KP19</td>
<td>Diversion</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key to Definitive Map Team Staff**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>Working Hours</th>
<th>Initials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Clarke</td>
<td>Definitive Map Officer</td>
<td>Permanent 1 dpw</td>
<td>SLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martyn Brawn</td>
<td>Definitive Map Officer</td>
<td>Permanent Full Time</td>
<td>MFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Massingham</td>
<td>Assistant Definitive Map Officer</td>
<td>Permanent Full Time</td>
<td>GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francine Rainbow</td>
<td>Assistant Definitive Map Officer</td>
<td>Permanent Part Time</td>
<td>FJR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katie Angel</td>
<td>ROW Team Leader</td>
<td></td>
<td>KA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Authority</td>
<td>Dealt with by alt county</td>
<td></td>
<td>OA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be confirmed</td>
<td>Case officer not yet allocated</td>
<td></td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be confirmed</td>
<td>Priority - allocated case officer now left service</td>
<td></td>
<td>TBC P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.12 Consultations

All planning applications are processed by the District Councils.

The Definitive Map Team aim to check all such applications for any possible effect on public access and respond appropriately. Whilst the existence of public rights of way is a material consideration in planning, it is rarely prohibitive to planning consent being obtained and the objective in checking applications is to ensure that public rights of way are not likely to be restricted, encroached or made less accessible by the proposals.

Sometimes there may be opportunities for improving access and applicants are also advised of the necessary measures before a public right of way is diverted, extinguished or temporarily blocked.

5.13 Changes to the Network

There are three ways in which a public right of way can be changed namely:

1. diversion,
2. extinguishment
3. creation

Public rights of way can only be changed by means of a statutory procedure by an appropriate authority. The majority of changes result from applications either to the District Councils in order to carry out development for which there is planning permission or to the County Council when it is the interests of the landowner.

The most common type of application to the County Council is to divert a path across an area of land, agricultural or residential. This may be to improve agricultural management, to secure privacy and security (including bio-security) or to reduce the possibility of conflict or danger.

The procedures involve consultation with the public and a right to object to orders, so that the interests of the land managers and those of the users of the rights of way can be balanced.

Where new rights of way are to be created then these should be well designed to ensure that the right of way does not lead to anti-social behavioural problems.

5.14 Closures

Sometimes there is an event that requires a public right of way to be temporarily closed as a matter of urgency for the protection of the public. This includes events such as the discovery of a dangerous bridge, landslip, dangerous wall or trees about to fall onto the path, gas leak, notifiable animal disease and other occurrences over which we have no control and cannot take sufficient mitigating measures at the time.
These emergency closures can be put into effect at very short notice and only last for a few days. The purpose of them is to enable work to be carried out to remove, or reduce sufficiently, the danger or to arrange for a longer temporary closure.

Temporary closures can last up to six months but they take a few weeks to put into place and must be advertised in the local paper and on site. The purpose of such closures is to allow work to be carried out either to the path itself, such as where an emergency closure for a collapsing bridge does not last long enough for the work to be carried out, or on adjacent property which would present a danger to the public, such as where a public right of way crosses the site of a proposed new development.

Where works are planned, the closure must always be of this type, an emergency closure cannot be used for this purpose and if a temporary closure has not been requested in advance it may be necessary for the person carrying out the work to arrange the initial work so that it does not affect the path, or even to delay the start until the closure is in place. This procedure carries a real cost which is recharged to the applicant where relevant.

The work undertaken and the order in which it is undertaken are based on data currently held, including records of reported problems provided by the public, PPWs and information gathered through site inspections by officers.

There may be opportunities to identify and secure additional sources of funding, whether via further developing mutually-beneficial external partnerships which fulfil ROWIP aims, or by making a sound business case for internal funding. In all cases, effective marketing is key to securing those funds through thorough research and clear demonstration of the potential benefits, whether to health and social well-being, the environment or the economy.

With competing demands on both potential County Council and external funding, it is essential that existing resources are used efficiently. Furthermore, if we are to be successful in securing additional funding we must be able to demonstrate that a business-like approach is integral to our way of working.
6.0 Action Plan and Programme 2018/19

With limited funding we are not able to be proactive and are only able to attend to a limited amount of issues raised and identified as part of our service.

We will continue to reactively deal with Public enquiries as they arise and also with our detailed asset inventory inspections aiming to complete another 20% of the network taking us up to 80% of the network being surveyed at the end of this financial year. This detailed asset inventory also highlights where we have any concerns on the network which are passed on to our Rights Of Way Officers to prioritise and deal with in an orderly manner based on safety concerns as we cannot fund every highlighted issue within a given financial year.

We will continue to engage with all Parishes with an aim of encouraging each one to have a Parish Path Warden and if not trying to understand why. We will use the information provided by these Warden’s to help shape our future maintenance and improvement plans.

We will undertake an annual Parish Survey with specific reference to the Rights of Way network in each Parish and provide each one with an opportunity to report on these.

We will review the action plan annually with NLAF.

We will continue to work on our case history and provide an annual progress report.

British standards and Defra good practice guidance refer to the need to consider the least restrictive options on all rights of way with a hierarchy from best to worst of gaps, kissing gates and stiles.

There are new British Standards for stiles regarding height of steps, provision of posts etc which most of the existing stiles in the County do not comply to and we need to ensure that when we undertake such replacements that they are to the latest specification and standard.
6.1 Monitoring and Review

Guidance from DEFRA details the need to review the Rights of Way Improvement Plan every 10 years. This iteration of the Rights of Way Improvement Plan supersedes the document that was published in July 2007.

We will, following each annual survey, review the information provided and amend as required our programme of works and future work requirements depending upon available funding.

There will be continued dialogue with NLAF and the Ramblers to encourage collaborative working.